

# The calculation of uncertainty of the calibration results for a torque measuring device calibrated to British Standard BS 7882: 2008.

Barry C. Pratt  
Head of Laboratory  
Norbar Torque Tools Ltd  
Beaumont Road  
Banbury  
Oxfordshire  
OX16 1XJ  
United Kingdom

Phone: + 44 (0) 1295 270 333  
E-mail: [bpratt@norbar.com](mailto:bpratt@norbar.com)

**Abstract** – BS 7882:2008[1] annex B gives a “Method example of determining uncertainty of the calibration results of the torque measuring device”.

The calculation of uncertainties associated with the calibration results is explained and includes assessment of the likely causes of uncertainty; their potential magnitude; and the type of distribution associated with each element. The combination of each uncertainty into the final expanded uncertainty is then demonstrated by the use of a worked example.

## **Introduction**

It is a requirement for all accredited Calibration Laboratories that results reported in a Calibration Certificate are accompanied by a statement describing the uncertainty associated with those results. Without such an indication results cannot be compared either amongst themselves or with reference values.

The expanded uncertainties are derived from the calibration results and associated type B (systematic) uncertainty contributions in accordance with the requirements of BS 7882:2008 annex B.

In addition to the uncertainty contributions referenced in BS 7882:2008 sources of uncertainty specific to unsupported calibration beams are included. Depending on individual circumstances uncertainty contribution may come from other sources, table 1 gives typical examples. It is the responsibility of the laboratory concerned to identify the sources of uncertainty that are present during a calibration and to formulate their uncertainty budgets accordingly.

A worked example for a 1000 N·m. transducer calibrated in accordance with BS 7882:2008 Class 0.2 increasing torques only is given. All sources of uncertainty are considered uncorrelated input quantities.

**Table1. Sources of uncertainty and assumed distributions.**

<b>SOURCE OF UNCERTAINTY</b>	<b>DISTRIBUTION</b>	<b>DEVISOR</b>
Uncertainty due to the application of torque	Normal	2
Uncertainty due to repeatability.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to reproducibility.	U shaped	$\sqrt{2}$
Uncertainty due to resolution.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to residual deflection.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to temperature variations	Triangular	$\sqrt{6}$
Uncertainty due to the error of interpolation.	Triangular	$\sqrt{6}$
Uncertainty due to reversibility.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to the transducers susceptibility to bending effects	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to the axis of the applied torque not being horizontal.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to voltage ratio measurement	Normal	2
Uncertainty due to voltage ratio meter uncorrected errors.	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to eccentric loading	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$
Uncertainty due to friction effects	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$

### General requirements

The calibration uncertainty is that associated with the mean indicated deflection of a calibration for a given applied torque. It may be given in torque units (N·m), electrical signal output (mV/V) (V) or as a relative value in percentage. For the purpose of this paper relative values are used as the parameters for the device are expressed in percentage.

At each calibration torque  $T$ , a combined standard uncertainty  $u_c$  is calculated from the readings obtained during the calibration and type b uncertainty contributions. These uncertainties are then multiplied by the coverage factor  $k = 2$  to give an expanded uncertainty value  $U$  providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%.

$$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2} \quad n = \text{number of uncertainty contributions } u_i.$$

$$U = k \cdot u_c$$

and where :

- $u_1$  = standard uncertainty associated with the calibration torque;
- $u_2$  = standard uncertainty associated with the reproducibility of the device;
- $u_3$  = standard uncertainty associated with the repeatability of the device;
- $u_4$  = standard uncertainty associated with the resolution of indicator;

- $u_5$  = standard uncertainty associated with the residual deflection of the device;
- $u_6$  = standard uncertainty associated with the temperature of the device;
- $u_7$  = standard uncertainty associated with the horizontal axis of an unsupported calibration beam.
- $u_8$  = standard uncertainty associated with the devices susceptibility to bending effects.

### Calibration torque uncertainty, $u_1$

$u_1$  is the expanded uncertainty associated with the torques generated by the calibration beam (supported or unsupported) or reference device, and is subject to its own uncertainty budget. This value is normally obtained from the calibration certificate of the calibration beam or from the manufacturer. For the Norbar unsupported calibration beam used in this example it is assumed to be  $\pm 0.02\%$  using a coverage factor of  $k = 2$  to give a level of confidence of approximately 95%.

### Calculation of reproducibility uncertainty, $u_2$

$u_2$  is the uncertainty contribution due to the reproducibility of the measured deflection. It can be assumed that, at each calibration torque  $T$ :

$$u_2 = \frac{0.5R_2}{\sqrt{2}}$$

where  $R_2$  is the relative reproducibility defined in 5.3 of BS7882: 2008

### Calculation of repeatability uncertainty, $u_3$

$u_3$  is the uncertainty contribution due to the repeatability of the measured deflection. It can be assumed that, at each calibration torque  $T$ :

$$u_3 = \frac{0.5R_1}{\sqrt{3}}$$

where  $R_1$  is the relative repeatability defined in 5.2 of BS7882: 2008

### Calculation of resolution uncertainty, $u_4$

Each deflection value is calculated from two readings (the reading with an applied torque minus the reading at zero torque). Because of this, the resolution of the indicator needs to be included twice as a single triangular distribution with a standard uncertainty of  $r/\sqrt{6}$ , where  $r$  is the resolution expressed as a relative value. Where it

it is possible to tare the initial reading of each series to zero, a single rectangular distribution with a standard uncertainty of  $r/\sqrt{12}$  can be used.

$$u_4 = \frac{r}{\sqrt{6}} \quad \text{or} \quad u_4 = \frac{r}{\sqrt{12}}$$

### Calculation of residual deflection uncertainty, $u_5$

$u_5$  is the uncertainty contribution due to the variation in the relative residual deflection  $R_0$ .

$$u_5 = \frac{0.5R_0}{\sqrt{3}}$$

where  $R_0$  is the relative residual deflection defined in 5.5 of BS7882: 2008

### Calculation of temperature uncertainty, $u_6$

$u_6$  is the uncertainty contribution due to the variation of temperature throughout the calibration, together with the uncertainty in the measurement of the calibration temperature. The sensitivity of the device to temperature needs to be determined:

$$u_6 = \frac{K\Delta t}{2\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{or} \quad u_6 = \frac{K\Delta t}{\sqrt{6}}$$

where:

$K$  is the device's relative temperature coefficient expressed as a percentage of maximum applied torque per degree Celsius, derived either by tests or from the manufacturer's specifications; NOTE The temperature coefficient to be used is that of sensitivity, not of zero.

$\Delta t$  is the calibration temperature range, allowing for the uncertainty in the measurement of the temperature.

How to determine the sensitivity of the device to temperature is given in the NPL good practice guide No.107 "Guide to the calibration and testing of torque transducers"[2].

### Calculation of uncertainty due to the axis of an unsupported calibration beam not being horizontal, $u_7$

$u_7$  is the uncertainty contribution due to the axis of the calibration beam not being horizontal  $H_{axis}$ . The horizontal axis of the applied torque is allowed to vary  $\pm 1^\circ$ . This error causes a loss of applied torque ( $u_1$ ) of 0.015%. NOTE for  $\pm 2^\circ$  this value would be 0.061%.

$$u_7 = \frac{H_{axis}}{\sqrt{3}}$$

## Calculation of uncertainty due to the devices susceptibility to bending effects,

$u_8$

$u_8$  is the uncertainty contribution due to bending effects  $D_b$ . The torque measuring device may show susceptibility to bending effects Determined from tests as 0.1%.

$$u_8 = \frac{D_b}{\sqrt{3}}$$

How to determine the susceptibility to bending of the device is given in the NPL good practice guide No.107 "Guide to the calibration and testing of torque transducers"[2][3].

## Calculation of combined standard uncertainty, $u_c$

For each calibration torque the combined standard uncertainty  $u_c$  is calculated by combining the individual standard uncertainties in quadrature.

$$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2}$$

## Calculation of expanded uncertainty, $U$

$$U = k \cdot u_c$$

where  $k = 2$ .

## Overall accuracy of the device, $O_a$

The overall accuracy  $O_a$  of the device expressed as an uncertainty can be obtained by combining the classification accuracy with the expanded uncertainty in quadrature.

$$O_a = \sqrt{\left(\frac{E_i}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U}{2}\right)^2}$$

The overall accuracy of the device is then multiplied by the coverage factor  $k = 2$  to give an expanded uncertainty value  $UO_a$ .

$UO_a$  encompasses all reported errors which subsequently do not need to be corrected for.

Classification accuracy is obtained from the error of indication  $E_i$  in Table 2 of BS7882: 2008.

An alternative approach is to directly add the actual reported value of  $E_i$  to  $U$ . In this instance the sign of  $E_i$  would be ignored and assumed to be a  $\pm$  value.

$$UO_a = E_i + U$$

**Worked example of calculation of uncertainty of the calibration results of a torque measuring device calibrated in torque units (increasing torques only)**

Applied Torque N.m	Raw data			Mean indicated deflection
	0°		90°	
	1	2	3	
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
100	100.0	99.9	99.9	<b>100.0</b>
200	199.9	199.9	199.8	<b>199.9</b>
400	399.8	399.7	399.7	<b>399.8</b>
600	599.8	599.7	599.7	<b>599.8</b>
800	799.9	799.8	799.7	<b>799.8</b>
1000	1000.0	999.9	999.8	<b>999.9</b>
0	0.00	0.01	0.01	

**Classification to BS 7882: 2008**

**Class 0-2 from 1000-0 N.m to 100-0 N.m**

Applied Torque N.m	Relative Repeatability R <sub>1</sub>	Relative Reproducibility R <sub>2</sub>	Relative Error of Indication E <sub>i</sub>	Relative residual deflection R <sub>0</sub>
0				
100	0.100%	0.100%	-0.050%	
200	0.000%	0.050%	-0.075%	
400	0.025%	0.025%	-0.063%	
600	0.017%	0.017%	-0.042%	
800	0.013%	0.025%	-0.025%	
1000	0.010%	0.020%	-0.010%	
0				0.001%

Applied Torque N.m	Classification				
	Relative Repeatability R <sub>1</sub>	Relative Reproducibility R <sub>2</sub>	Error of indication E <sub>i</sub>	Relative residual deflection R <sub>0</sub>	Lower limit of calibration
100	0.2	0.10	0.100		0.2
200	0.05	0.05	0.200		0.1
400	0.05	0.05	0.200		0.05
600	0.05	0.05	0.100		0.05
800	0.05	0.05	0.050		0.05
1000	0.05	0.05	0.050	0.05	0.05

**Uncertainties calculated in accordance with BS7882: 2008 annex B**

Uncertainty contribution	Applied torque		Reproducibility		Repeatability		Resolution		Residual deflection	
	u <sub>1</sub>		u <sub>2</sub>		u <sub>3</sub>		u <sub>4</sub>		u <sub>5</sub>	
Formula	Taken from the calibration certificate of the calibration machine.		$u_2 = \frac{R_2 \times 0.5}{\sqrt{2}}$		$u_3 = \frac{R_1 \times 0.5}{\sqrt{3}}$		$u_4 = \frac{r}{\sqrt{12}}$		$u_5 = \frac{0.5 \times R_0}{\sqrt{3}}$	
0	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty
100	0.020%	0.010%	0.100%	0.035%	0.100%	0.029%	0.100%	0.029%		
200	0.020%	0.010%	0.050%	0.018%	0.000%	0.000%	0.050%	0.014%		
400	0.020%	0.010%	0.025%	0.009%	0.025%	0.007%	0.025%	0.007%		
600	0.020%	0.010%	0.017%	0.006%	0.017%	0.005%	0.017%	0.005%		
800	0.020%	0.010%	0.025%	0.009%	0.013%	0.004%	0.013%	0.004%		
1000	0.020%	0.010%	0.020%	0.007%	0.010%	0.003%	0.010%	0.003%	0.001%	0.0003%

Uncertainty contribution	Temperature		Horizontal axis error		Bending effects		Combined uncertainty	Expanded Uncertainty K = 2
	u <sub>6</sub>		u <sub>7</sub>		u <sub>8</sub>			
Formula	$u_6 = \frac{K \times \Delta t}{2 \times \sqrt{3}}$		$u_7 = \frac{H_{axis}}{\sqrt{3}}$		$u_8 = \frac{D_b}{\sqrt{3}}$		$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^8 u_i^2}$	$U = u_c \times 2$
0	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty	Value	Uncertainty		
100	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.083%	0.165%
200	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.067%	0.133%
400	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.064%	0.128%
600	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.063%	0.126%
800	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.063%	0.127%
1000	0.035%/°C	0.020%	0.015%	0.009%	0.10%	0.058%	0.063%	0.126%

Example uncertainty budgets for a 1000 N.m. transducer calibrated in accordance with BS 7882:2008 at 60% of full scale are given. NOTE a triangular distribution is assumed for temperature and a rectangular distribution for resolution base on ± ½ a least significant digit.

EXAMPLE ONLY

**CALIBRATION LABORATORY WORKSHEET  
FOR UNCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS**

**UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR 1000 N·m TRANSDUCER @ 60% OF FULL SCALE  
SYSTEM CALIBRATION IN N·m**

BCP8B

Symbol	Source of uncertainty	value ±	Probability distribution	Divisor	<i>c<sub>i</sub></i>	<i>u<sub>i</sub></i> (TRAN) ± % (units)	<i>v<sub>i</sub></i> or <i>v<sub>eff</sub></i>
$T_Q$	TORQUE APPLICATION	0.02	Normal	2	1	.01	
$T_{mV/V.sys}$	VARIATION DUE TO TEMPERATURE CHANGE	2°C	Triangular	$\sqrt{6}$	0.035% per °C	.029	
$H_{axis}$	ERROR DUE TO THE AXIS OF APPLIED TORQUE NOT BEING HORIZONTAL	0.015%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.009	
$D_{RE}$	ERROR DUE TO RESOLUTION	.0083%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.005	
$R_0$	ERROR DUE TO RESIDUAL DEFLECTION	0.001%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.0003	
$R_1$	ERROR DUE TO REPEATABILITY	0.0085%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.005	
$R_2$	ERROR DUE TO REPRODUCIBILITY	0.0085%	U	$\sqrt{2}$	1	0.006	
$R_3$	ERROR DUE TO REVERSIBILITY	0.025%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.014	
$D_b$	ERROR DUE TO BENDING	0.10%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.058	
$u_C$ (TRAN)	Root, Sum, Squ. $U_i$ (TRAN) Combined uncertainty		normal			0.068	
$U$	Expanded uncertainty		normal (k=2)			0.14	

NAME	B. C. PRATT	DATE	12.8.2008
------	-------------	------	-----------

EXAMPLE ONLY

**CALIBRATION LABORATORY WORKSHEET  
FOR UNCERTAINTY CALCULATIONS**

**UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR 1000 N·m TRANSDUCER @ 60% OF FULL SCALE  
CALIBRATION IN mV/V**

BCP8B

Symbol	Source of uncertainty	value ±	Probability distribution	Divisor	<i>c<sub>i</sub></i>	<i>u<sub>i</sub></i> (TRAN) ± % (units)	<i>v<sub>i</sub></i> or <i>v<sub>eff</sub></i>
$T_Q$	TORQUE APPLICATION	0.02	Normal	2	1	.01	
$T_{mV/V.sys}$	VARIATION DUE TO TEMPERATURE CHANGE	2°C	Triangular	$\sqrt{6}$	0.035% per °C	.029	
$H_{axis}$	ERROR DUE TO THE AXIS OF APPLIED TORQUE NOT BEING HORIZONTAL	0.015%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.009	
$UN_{Vratio}$	UNCERTAINTY OF VOLTAGE RATIO MEASUREMENT	0.01%	Normal	2	1	.005	
$M_{mer}$	VOLTAGE RATIO METER UNCORRECTED ERRORS	0.006%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.0035	
$D_{RE}$	ERROR DUE TO RESOLUTION	.008%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.005	
$R_0$	ERROR DUE TO RESIDUAL DEFLECTION	0.001%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.0003	
$R_1$	ERROR DUE TO REPEATABILITY	0.0085%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.005	
$R_2$	ERROR DUE TO REPRODUCIBILITY	0.0085%	U	$\sqrt{2}$	1	0.006	
$E_{it}$	ERROR OF INTERPOLATION	0.00019%	Triangular	$\sqrt{6}$	1	.00008	
$D_b$	ERROR DUE TO BENDING	0.10%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	.058	
$u_c$ (TRAN)	Root, Sum, Squ. <i>U<sub>i</sub></i> (TRAN) Combined uncertainty		normal			0.066	
U	Expanded uncertainty		normal (k=2)			0.13	

NAME	B. C. PRATT	DATE	12.8.2008
------	-------------	------	-----------



## References

- [1] BS7882:2008; Calibration and classification of torque measuring devices
- [2] Robinson A, 2008 Guide to the calibration and testing of torque transducers. NPL. National measurement good practice guide No.107.
- [3] Pratt B, Robinson A, 2006 A comparison between supported and unsupported beams for use in static torque calibrations. *Proceedings of the 18<sup>th</sup> Imeko World Congress*, Rio de Janeiro.
- [4]. ISO TAG 4 Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, OIML. International Organisation for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, First Edition, 1993.
- [5] The Expression of Uncertainty and Confidence in Measurement. United Kingdom Accreditation Service, 21 – 47 High Street, Feltham, Middlesex, TW13 4UN, UK. M3003, Edition 2, 2007.
- [6] Pratt B.C., Sources of uncertainty of torque generation using masses hanging from and unsupported calibration beam. Issue 4, 24 July 2001.